Case 5:09-cr-00488-RMW Document 8 Filed 05/14/09 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

Case Number <u>CR-09-</u> 00488 RMW

v. 🔎 0 (1)	CIC OI BOILE
Esic Suarez-Amb	Defendant. ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 5/14/6 Defendant was
present, represented by his attorney	Humy. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	The Officed States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney 5. 2012.
	ith an offense described in 18 II S.C. § 2142(A(1) and 41 and 51
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a	
period of not more than five (5) years has	s elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	to the date of conviction of the release of the person from imprisonment,
	sumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	samption that no condition of combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ed upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	a upon (the material to the facts round in Fart IV below) to believe that the defendant
	ximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
	251 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.
	sumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required a	and the sefety of the community.
No presumption applies.	and the safety of the community. MAY 1 42009
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS	CVF6%
	forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he
therefore will be ordered detained.	To ward with sufficient evidence to reout the applicable presumption is said the sufficient evidence to reout the applicable presumption is said to sufficient evidence to reout the applicable presumption is said to sufficient evidence to reout the applicable presumption is sufficient evidence to reout the applicable presumption is sufficient evidence.
	ward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts b	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	d to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the de	• '
	d by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other p	•
,	AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
-	count the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	
He is undocumented.	His orminal firstory shows both probation
	control of his failure to appear in court when ordere
40 (10 so. He assers	to have both in alcohol and arya problem.
	as to comply with wants makes him a flight risk
•	the AUSA have waived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETI	
	astody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
	ticable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
	opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
	for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
endant to the United States Marshal for the	e purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
as Elula	(Shiin V. Transless 02
ted: 5/14/09	DATRICIA V. TRUR GRIEF
•	PATRICIA V TRIIMRIII I

United States Magistrate Judge